ANALYSIS OF RISK MANAGEMENT IN DEVELOPMENT SMK STIKES RAJAWALI BANDUNG (CASE STUDY: WELL FOUNDATION)

Firda Aulia Khairin¹, Annisa¹, Ilham¹

^{1.} Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Design, Institut Teknologi Sains Bandung, Central Cikarang, Indonesia E-mail: firdaaulia22@gmail.com annisaica@gmail.com ilham.mhd.yunus.01@gmail.com

Manuscript information:

Abstract

Received 2020 Revised 2020 Approved to be published 2020 Published 2020 The SMK STIKES Rajawali Bandung project is a unique project because it located in a steep land, which creates various project risks that can hinder project progress and affect project achievement. Based on the s curve, the problem faced in the construction of this project is foundation work. Because foundation work is the most important part in a building construction project to support the buildings on it.

The purpose of this research is to identify risks, find out the causes, and how to overcome the dominant risk if the risk occurs. This research was conducted by surveying the construction actors especially project managers, site engineers, operational sites, and workers. Then analyzed using a qualitative risk analysis, risk acceptance analysis, and risk response to determine the frequency and impact of risks that occur. Based on the results of research using qualitative risk analysis, it was found that the greatest risk was the risk of rain. The method of handling it is by making pump dewatering because the pump dewatering job is able to release groundwater in the foundation hole due to rain, reducing the risk of landslides due to rain, and the groundwater level to drop.

Keywords: risk identification, assessment, mitigation

1. INTRODUCTION

Over time, the development of the contruction industry has become increasingly diverse, complex and sophisticated. This development is closely related to the implementation of construction development. Dapu, et al. (2016) construction development consists of various stages, of which the most decisive stage is the contruction stage, because teh overall quality of the project depens on construction and construction management. Apart from that, the cost and time of the project. Given the importance of the construction phase, the contractor must carefully plan, schedule and manage the project. Management of a project is part of project management.

Project management is the application of 10 field of science (knowlage), skills, tools, and techniques is project activites ti achieve project goals. Construction project cannot be separated from three aspects, namaly time, cost and implementatin (Annisa A, 2019). Therefore, every construction project implementation requires good project management, to be able to mange and minimize the various project risks that may occur. The success of a project is determined if it is according to the right time, the cost does not exceed the budget, the quality is in accordance with the provisions, at leasr changesin the scope of work, the results can be well received by the owner (Kerzner, 2010).

Problems in project implementation are cause by many internal and external factors starting from the initial stages of project implementation, namely the design stage, procurment process, implementation to handover (Utomo, et al, 2019). This problem causes delays in project goals and objectives that have been planned, therefore risks need to be managed properly. Risk is an uncertain situsion that has a positive or negative impact on project results (PMBOK, 2017). The magnitude of the impact caused by risk can be know through project risk management. Project risk management is the application of risk management elements, namely risk management planning, risk identification, qualitative risk analysis, quantitative risk analysis, risk response, risk response implementation and risk monitoring (PMBOK, 2017). Risk management construction projects need to be managed properly so that the benefits to be obtauned are in accordance with the risks to be faced.

One of the project conditions that affect construction is the project location. project location affects the risk management of work in the field, including foundation work, structural work, finishing and complementary works (Herdianto A., et al, 2015). Foundation work is the most important part in a building construction project, because the foundation functions as a transfer of the load from the building above the stucture to the soil layer below it (Wulandari, et al, 2017). This research discusses the risk management of foundation work in a case study of a 5-storey building which has steep and varied contour conditions at SMK STIKES Rajawali Bandung.

The construction project of SMK STIKES Rajawali Bandung is located in West Bandung Regency and was built on January 9, 2018 to November 7, 2019. The project construction was built on a steep land location, causing various project risks that could hinder the progress of the project and affect project achievement. One of the obstructed jobs is foundation work, where this work is delayed.

Delays in foundation work affect subsequent work items (such as structural work, finishing and auxiliary work). Based on the s curve, The foundation work planning starts on March 2, 2018 to March 22, 2018, but when the field start on March 2, 2018 to April 12, 2018, there is delay in the execution time of 21 days (initial plan was 34 days, but realization in the field 55 days). The delay in this implementation time is due to the steep ground location which result in difficult mobilization, weather and lack of material strorage space. Therefore, at the time of carrying out contruction work it is necessary to apply a risk management system at the work site, where this risk issue is also part og project planning and control. Based on the above background, it is necessary to carry out identification research, risk analysis and risk management methods during the construction of the SMK STIKES Rajawali Bandung Project.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Risk Management of Construction Project

2.1.1 Project Risk Management

Risk management is generallyy a process of identifying, measuring, ensuring risks and starategies. Contruction is a complex activity because many fields are involved, so it cannot be separated from the risks that may occur. PMBOK (2017) risk is an uncertain situation that has a positive or negative impact on project results and objectives. Kerzner (2010) This risk has three main elements, namely:

- 1. Events (Situations), that occur at a certain place within certain intervals.
- 2. Probability (Likelihood), is a qualitative description of the probability.
- 3. Impact (Consequences), the result of an event that has an impact on losse.

SNI 31000:2011 there are main elements of the project risk management stage. This stage can be seen in Figure 2.1



Figure 2.1 Stages of Risk Management Source: SNI ISO 31000: 2011

The following are the stage of risk management:

- 1. *Risk management planning, is a step in deciding how to approach and plan project risk management activities.*
- 2. Risk identification, is the process of determining events that have a negative impact on project objectives.
- 3. Qualitative risk analysis, is an assessment of the dominant frequency and adverse impacts on project objectives.
- 4. Quantitative risk analysis, is a measure of the opportunities and consequences of risk with an estimate of the implications for project objectives.
- 5. Risk Evaluation is comparison of existing risk leveks with standard criteria. if the risk level is set low, then the risk falls intro an acceptable category and may only require monitoring without having to exercise control
- 6. *Risk control is a way to reduce the degree of probability and impact by using alternative methods, risk transfer, and other.*
- 7. Monitor and review are the results of the risk management system on monitoring and review and identifying changes that need to be changed.

2.1.2 Risk Identification

PMBOK (2017) risk identification is repetitive because risks may only become known during the project cycle. Risk identification aims to accept sources of risk and events that hinder the achievement of project objectives. According to Utomo (2019) the sources of risk are divided into two parts, namely external causes and internal causes, because the causes of risk do not have a universal standard in their distribution.

External causes can be divided into two parts, namely:

- a. External can not be detected, among others: changes in laws and regulations, natural disasters (storms, floods, earthquakes, as a result of destructive events, environmental and social impacts) or as a result of the project and failure of project completion.
- b. Externals can be detected, among others: market risk, operational (after the project is completed), environmental impacts, social impacts, currency changes, inflation, taxes.

Apart from external causes, there are also internal causes which can be divided into two, namely:

- a. Non-technical internals, among others: management, late schedules, additional costs, cash flow, potential loss of benefits and profits.
- b. Technical internals include: technological changes, project technology specifications risks, design, law.

2.1.3 Qualitative Risk Analysis

The next step after risk identification is to measure risk by involving the magnitude of the impact that occurs with the frequency of risk occurrence. The results of the qualitative risk analysis are further analyzed by carrying out a risk management action plan in the form of tools and techniques (PMBOK 2017):

a. Risk Probability and Impact Assessment

`This technique is to identify the risks resulting from the impact of positive and negative risks on project objectives (such as time, cost, scope and quality).

b. Probability and Impact Matrix

Risk is further analyzed quantitatively and responses based on the level of risk. The scale of the risk level measurement is based on the multiplication of the frequency and impact of the risk that occurs The qualitative analysis measurement scale uses the Australian Standard / New Zealand Standard (AS / NZS) 4360: 2004.

Level	Descriptor	Descriotion
1	Very Unlikely	Lets never happen
2	Unlikely	Can happen, but rarely
3	Possible	Can occur under certain conditions
4	Likely	Can occur periodically
5	Almost Certain	Can happen at any time

Table 2.1 Frequency Level of Risk

Source: Risk Management Guidelines Companion to AS / NZS 4360: 2004

Level	Descriptor	Descriotion
1	Very Unlikely	No injuries, small financial losses
2	Unlikely	Minor injury, moderate financial loss
3	Possible	Moderate injury, large financial loss
4	Likely	Serious injury, big loss
5	Almost Certain	The loss was very large and had a long
		impact, the cessation of all activities

Table 2.2 Impact Level of Risk

Source: Risk Management Guidelines Companion to AS / NZS 4360: 2004

Kerzener (2010) risk level is formulated as follows:

Risk = Frequency x Impact

Consequences				
1	2	3	4	5
(Insignifant)	(Minor)	(Moderate)	(Major)	(Catastrophic)
LOW	LOW	LOW	MEDIUM	MEDIUM
LOW	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	HIGH
LOW	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	HIGH	HIGH
MEDIUM	MEDIUM	HIGH	HIGH	VERY HIGH
MEDIUM	HIGH	HIGH	VERY HIGH	VERY HIGH
	l (Insignifant) LOW LOW LOW MEDIUM MEDIUM	1 2 (Insignifatt) (Minor) LOW LOW LOW MEDIUM MEDIUM MEDIUM MEDIUM HIGH	1 2 3 (Insignifant) (Minor) (Moderate) LOW LOW LOW LOW MEDIUM MEDIUM LOW MEDIUM MEDIUM MEDIUM MEDIUM HIGH MEDIUM HIGH HIGH	Consequences1234(Insignifant)(Minor)(Moderate)(Major)LOWLOWLOWMEDIUMMEDIUMLOWMEDIUMMEDIUMMEDIUMMEDIUMLOWMEDIUMMEDIUMMEDIUMHIGHMEDIUMMEDIUMHIGHHIGHYERY HIGH

Where, the risk results are substituted into numbers it can be seen as follows: **Table 2.3** Risk Matrix

Source: Risk Management Guidelines Companion to AS / NZS 4360: 2004

c. Risk Data Quality Assessment

Qualitative risk analysis requires accurate and inaccurate data. Risk data quality analysis is a technique to evaluate the usefulness of data in risk management.

d. Risk Catagorization

Project risks can be categorized based on the source of risk to find out which project areas are exposed to uncertainty.

e. Risk Urgency Assessment

This risk requires action in the near future which can be catagorized intro very imprortant risks and needs immediate analysis.

2.1.4 Risk Acceptability

The level of risk recognition is a very important tool in making decisions, because through risk ratings, management can determine priorities and treatments at the construction stage (Dharma, et al, 2017).

According to Godfrey, PS (1996) the level of risk acceptance (risk acceptability) depends on the result of multiplying the frequency with the impact. The level of risk acceptance can be clarified as follows:

- 1. Unacceptable, is a risk that cannot be accepted and must be eliminated
- 2. Undesirable, is a risk that is not expected and must be avoided
- 3. Acceptable, is an acceptable risk
- 4. Negligible, is a risk that is completely acceptable

Assessment Acceptance Scale				
Unacceptable	x ≥ 15			
Undesirable	$5 \le x < 15$			
Acceptable	$3 \le x < 5$			
Negligible	x < 3			

Table 2.4 Risk Acceptability

Source: Godfrey, P.S, 1996

2.1.5 Risk Mitigation

According to Soputan, et al (2014), risk treatment is a strategy carried out to reduce the consequences of identified risks. Risk management strategies can be seen in Table 2.5.

Strategy	Information
Avoid or Reject	Take no risks
Reduce	Reducing the risk may occur
Fund or receive	Funding or receiving the risk of occurence
Overcome	Minimizes the consequences of risks
Take a turn	Shifting the risk to the other ride

Table 2.5 Risk Mitigation

Source: Soputan, dkk (2014)

2.1.6 Scale and Size of Research

The scale used in measuring the potential risk to frequency and impact is the Likert scale. The likert scale used ranges from 1 to 5. The scale of frequency and impact can be can be seen in Table 2.6 and Table 2.7.

 Table 2.6 Frequency Level Scale

Scale	Frequency Rate
1	Very Low
2	Low
3	Moderate
4	High
5	Very High

Table 2.7 Impact Value Scale

Scale	Frequency Rate
1	Very Small
2	Small
3	Moderate
4	Large
5	Very Large

Source: Godfrey, P.S, 1996

Source: Godfrey, P.S, 1996

3. Research Methodology

3.1 Data Collection

This research uses qualitative data. The data that has been obtained are then grouped according to the type of data source, namely as follows:

a. Primary Data

Primary Data obtained from interviews and questionnaires

b. Secondary Data

Secondary data were obtained from the project site engineering manager, besides that, it was also obtained from literature studies on construction project risk management such as books, journals, regulations and sources that support research.

3.2 Research Variables

The variables in this study used two types of variables, namely:

a. Independent variable

Independent variables are variables that are related to other variables. The independent variables in this study are the causes of undetectable external, detectable external, technical internal, and non-technical internal.

b. Dependent variable

The dependent variable is a variable that is related to other variables. The dependent variable in this study is an indicator of risk

Table 3.1	Research	Variables
-----------	----------	-----------

No.	Source of risk	Risk indicators	Reference
1	External cannot be detected	Avalanche	Magna, et al (2017)
		Flood	Magna, et al (2017)
		Earthquake	Subiyanto (2010)
2	External can be detected	Inflation or an increase in prices and a decrease in purchasing power	Subiyanto (2010)
		Rain	Subiyanto (2010)
		Project locations that are difficult to reach (access/road including location)	Magna, et al (2017)
3	Internal technical	Land Investigation Work	
		Inaccurete soil data	Subiyanto (2010)
		Excavation work	
		Sting errors of the soil are excavated	Magna, et al (2017)
		Excavation has not yet reached the elevation plan	Magna, et al (2017)
		Work Foundation	
		Errors in determining drilling points and on foundation	Subiyanto (2010)
		Non-alignment of foundation drilling	Subiyanto (2010)
		The ground collapses were found around the borehole	Subiyanto (2010)
		Concrete pouring error	Subiyanto (2010)
		Labor	
		Low labor productivity	Magna, et al (2017)
		Equipment	
		Low equipment productivity	Subiyanto (2010)
		Tool damage	Ismael, et al (2014)
		If there is no provision of construction equipment at the project site	Messah, et al. (2013).
		Material	
		The quality and quantity of the material does not match the specifications	Messah, et al. (2013).
		Delays in delivery of materials to locations	Messah, et al. (2013).
		Lack of material storage space	Magna, et al (2017)
		Delay in ordering material	Messah, et al. (2013).
		Material fabrication failure	Subiyanto (2010)
		Material breakdown	Magna, et al (2017)
		Disain	
		There are design changes	Messah, et al (2013).

		Incomplete planning (drawing)	Messah, et al. (2013).
		Delay in the process of requesting and approving work spaces by the owner	Messah, et al. (2013).
4	Internal non	Cost	
	iecnnicai	Time and Cost control systems are weak	Subiyanto (2010)
		Late payment owner	Subiyanto (2010)
		There is work that is not recognized as a bill	Subiyanto (2010)
		Scheduling	
		Lack of time control information to monitor and analyze schedule estimation errors that affect project performance	Subiyanto (2010)
		Sequencing that is not good enough	Subiyanto (2010)
		Nother job that goes before, is too late	Subiyanto (2010)

4. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The risks identified in the SMK STIKES Rajawali Bandung Project on foundation work were 32 risks and 4 risk source categories, namely: external can not be detected, external can be detected, internal is technical, and internal is non-technical.

4.1 Risk Analysis

Risk analysis is a process of risk identification and risk assessment. Risk analysis is carried out qualitatively by multiplying the frequency by the impact of the identified risk. The identified risks can be grouped based on risk sources, namely 3 detectable external, 3 undetectable external, 21 technical internal and 6 non-technical internal. Analysis and identification of this can be seen in Table 4. 1

Table 4.1	Risk	Analysis	and	Identification
-----------	------	----------	-----	----------------

No.	Source of risk	Risk indicators	Risk Value	Risk Matrix Grouping
1	External Cannot	Avalanche	4	Medium
	Be Detected	Flood	4	Medium
		Earthquake	3	Low
2	External Can Be Detected	Inflation or an increase in prices and a decrease in purchasing power	15	High
		Rain	20	Very High
		Project locations that are difficult to reach (access/road including location)	16	Very High
3	Internal	Land Investigation Work		
Technical		Inaccurete soil data	4	Medium
		Excavation work		
		Sting errors of the soil are excavated	2	Low

		Excavation has not yet reached the elevation plan	4	Medium
		Work Foundation		
		Errors in determining drilling points and on foundation	4	Medium
		Non-alignment of foundation drilling	4	Medium
		The ground collapses were found around the borehole	5	Medium
		Concrete pouring error	4	Medium
		Labor		
		Low labor productivity	4	Medium
		Equipment		
		Low equipment productivity	4	Medium
		Tool damage	4	Medium
		If there is no provision of construction equipment at the project site	3	Low
		Material		
		The quality and quantity of the material does not match the specifications	4	Medium
		Delays in delivery of materials to locations	9	Medium
		Lack of material storage space	12	High
		Delay in ordering material	5	Medium
		Material fabrication failure	4	Medium
		Material breakdown	5	Medium
		Disain		
		There are design changes	3	Low
		Incomplete planning (drawing)	4	Medium
		Delay in the process of requesting and approving work spaces by the owner	4	Medium
4	Internal Non Technical	Cost		
		Time and Cost control systems are weak	16	Very High
		Late payment owner	4	Medium
		There is work that is not recognized as a bill	4	Medium
		Scheduling		
		Lack of time control information to monitor and analyze schedule estimation errors that affect project performance	4	Medium
		Sequencing that is not good enough	4	Medium

	Nother job that goes before, is too	9	Medium
	late		

4.1.1 *Risk Acceptability*

Next, the risk acceptability is grouped. Acceptance of risk obtained 4 types of risk acceptance, namely unacceptable amounting to 3 riks (9,375%), Undesirable amounting to 4 risks (12,5%), acceptable amounting to 24 risk (75%), and negligible amounting to 4 (12,5%). The results of this study show that acceptance of risk that fall into the catagory requires tratment, namely anaccaptable and undesirable risks. Acceptance of risk can be seen in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2 Risk Acceptability					
No.	Stages of Work	Risk Identification	Risk Value	Risk Acceptability	Source of risk
1	Foundation Work	Inflation or an increase in prices and a decrease in purchasing power	15	Undersirable	External Can Be Detected
2	Earth Work Excavation Work Foundation Work	Rain	20	Unaccaptable	External Can Be Detected
3	Earth Work Excavation Work Foundation Work	Project locations that are difficult to reach (access/road including location)	16	Unaccaptable	External Can Be Detected
4	Foundation Work	Delays in delivery of materials to locations	9	Undersirable	Internal Technical
5	Foundation Work	Lack of material storage space	12	Undersirable	Internal Technical
6	Foundation Work	<i>Time and Cost control systems are weak</i>	16	Undersirable	Internal Non Technical
7	Foundation Work	Nother job that goes before, is too late	9	Undersirable	Internal Non Technical

4.1.2 Risk Mitigation

Risk mitigation that falls into the risk catagory is unacceptable and undesirable. Risk treatment can be seen in Table 4.3.

No.	Risk Identification	Causes of Risk	Risk Mitigation	Information
1	Inflation or an increase in prices and a decrease in purchasing power	The increase in the price of iron due to the game on the market price reached 30-50% of the estimated price or iron	 Make an estimate of the increase in raw material prices Making contracts with suppliers with an umbrella contract system Looking for material supplier that offer lower prices 	To avoid the addendum
2	Rain	The groundwater level becomes high because, it often rains during foundation work	 Prepare for dewatering Provide a drainage system 	To avoid the addendum
3	Project locations that are difficult to reach	When it rains, access to the project entrance becomes	• Prepare alternative access roads to	To avoid the addendum

Table 4.3 Risk Mitigation

	(access/road including	difficult for material delivery to	facilitate	
	location)	the project site	mobilization	
4	Delays in delivery of materials to locations	The supplier did not send iron material according to schedule, so this project had to wait a long time for iron supplay	 Make schudule, evaluate the arrival and amount of material Contact the supplier to negotiate the material ordered, speed up immediately Speed up the work of the upper structure 	To avoid the addendum
5	Lack of material storage space	The difficulty of determining the desired material due to the accumulation of material in the warehouse	 Schedule delivery periodically Renting a material strorage area 	To avoid the addendum
6	Time and Cost control systems are weak	The foundation work was delayed, so the casting was too late	 The cost and time control system is kept simple but quite up to date Monitoring and reviewing the implementation schedule periodically If there is a deviation from the implementation schedule, a recovery is carried out which is discussed in the meeting to plan follow-up 	To avoid the addendum
7	Nother job that goes before, is too late	Rain conditions become difficult when removing the sludge from the foundation work, so that the dump truck cannot enter and exit the project because it is muddy. In addition, when drilling is not cast immediately, the foundation hole that has been drilled will collapse and the next work will be delayed	 Doing project crashing Do fast tracking Cover additional time with contingency time 	To avoid the addendum

4.1.3 Rain Strategy

Unpredictable weather conditions are the biggest risk for foundation work at SMK STIKES Rajawali Bandung. The results of calculations carried out using qualitative analysis obtained a risk value of 20. So, risk management is required for foundation work.

Maharani (2011), risk management for the rain variable is by preparing a dewatering or making a drainage system. From the comparison of the two strategies, the writer looks for the most

appropriate and efficient risk handling resuly in the SMK STIKES Rajawali Bandung Project. The picture of alternatives to minimize foundation word at SMK STIKES Rajawali Bandung is ad follows:

	Dewatering Pump	Open Drainage
Material	-	Concrete
Tools	Electric pump and generator	Hoe and Raskam
Principles of Action	Ground water is pumped out, so that the ground water levek around the excavation will flow into the pump hole by gravity, and cause a decrease in ground water level around the pump area	Flow water that comes from the rain to another channel to the disposal place, namely a river or a temporary water reservoir
Advantage	 The groundwater level drops Improve soil stability Landslides are down Removing water in the excavation due to rain 	 Land use can be optimized Freeing standing water in the project area due to rain
Joy	 The surrounding land springs into down The suction of fine particles from the groundby the pipe Consolidation of silt, clay or losse due to increased effective stress 	 Minimizes the project work area Often seen as shabby and smelly

Table 4.4	Comparison	of Drainage	and Dewaterin	g
-----------	------------	-------------	---------------	---

Based on the comparison between the two alternatives, the ideal for handling the risk or rain at SMK STIKES Rajawali Bandung is to use pump dewatering. it is due to dewatering pump capable speding water the soil in the hole foundations result due to rain, reducing the risk of landslides due to rain and surface water ground becomes down.

5. Conclusion

The results of the research analysis that have been carried out can be concluded as follows:

- 1. There are 32 identified risks, 3 very high risk, 2 high risk, 23 medium risk, and 4 low risk. Thr biggest risk comes from external risk that can be detected, namely very high with a rain risk value of 20.
- 2. The causes of delays in implementing the foundation at SMK STIKES Rajawali Bandung are Inflation or an increase in prices and a decrease in purchasing power, rain, Project locations that are difficult to reach (access/road including location), delays in delivery of materials to locations, lack of material storage space, time and Cost control systems are weak, nother job that goes before, is too late
- 3. Based on the result of the qualitative risk analysis calculation, it is found that the dominant risk rain. So it is necessary, the risk of rain is to use a dewatering pump. The comparison between the two alternatives above which is ideal for handling the risk of rain at SMK STIKES Rajawali Bandung is to use a pump dewatering. This is because the pump dewatering work is able to release groundwater in the foundation hole due to rain, and the groundwater level decreases

6. Bibligraphy

[1] Annisa, A. (2019). Management of Communication Project: Study Case Companies Based Engineering, Procurement, Construction and Manufacturing (EPCM) Region Industry Jababeka Cikarang. Planners Insight: Urban And Regional Planning Journal, 2 (1), 026-034.

- [2] National Standardization Body (BSN-1), 2011, Indonesian National Standard (SNI) ISO 31000: 2011 Risk Management Principles and Guidelines, Jakarta
- [3] Dharma, AAB, Putera, IGAA, & Parami, AAD (2017). Management Risk Safety And Health Work (K3) In the Project Development Jambuluwuk Hotel & Resort. Spectran's Journal, 5 (1), 1-87.
- [4] Godfrey, PS, 1996. Control of Risk, A Guide to The Systematic Management of Risk from Construction. Connstruction Industry Research And Information Association (CIRIA). Westminster London.
- [5] Herdianto A, Tanjungsari ADR, Hidayat A, Hatmoko JUD Evaluation Working Birthday (Re work) On Project Construction Building In Semarang. Journal of Civil Engineering Works . 4 (1): 93-106.
- [6] Ismael, I., & Junaidi, J. (2014). Identification of Factors that Affect Delay Implementation of Works on Project Building in the city of Bukittinggi. Momentum Journal, 16 (1).
- [7] Kerzner, H. 2010. Organizing and Staffing The Project Office and Team. Project Management: A Systems Approach to Planning, Scheduling, and Controlling, 141-144.
- [8] Magna, MT, Hartono, W., & Sugiyarto, S. (2017). Construction Risk Analysis of Bore Pile Structure on Projects Using the Analytical Hierarchy Process (Ahp) Method. Civil Engineering Matrix, 5 (2).
- [9] Messah, YA, Widodo, T., & Adoe, ML (2013). Study of Causes of Delays in Implementation of Building Construction Projects in Kupang City. Journal of Civil Engineering, 2 (2), 157-168.
- [10] *PMI.* (2017). A guide to the project management body of knowledge (*PMBOK*) (6 th ed.). Project Management Intitute, Inc: Pennsylvania.
- [11] Standards Australia / Standards New Zealand (AS / NZ). (2004). Handbook: Risk Management Guidelines Companion to AS / NZS 4360: 2004
- [12] Subiyanto, Eddy. (2010). Risk management lecture slides. Depok: University of Indonesia.
- [13] Utomo, ES, Putradi, RR, Chandra, HP, & Kusumastuti, C. (2019). Risk Management of Wharf Repair Construction Project in Gresik City. Journalof Civil Engineering Primary Dimensions, 8(2), 115-121.